

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/993,696	12/18/1997	DAVID J. SCHANZLIN	251692002821	5525
75	90 06/06/2003			
Antoinette F. Konski McCutchen Doyle Brown & Enersen LLP Three Embaracadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			EXAMINER WILLSE, DAVID H	
			3738 DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	45

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		- 18 J	A		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/993,696	SCHANZLIN ET AL.			
navicely nation	Examiner	Art Unit	\neg		
	Dave Willse	3738	İ		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of (1) a timely filed ame opeal (with appeal fee);	ndment which places the application in	1		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exports of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	er than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MO e date on which the petition un dension and the corresponding ened statutory period for reply	e mailing date of the final rejection. INTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee und originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	e der		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>May 22, 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		- 1		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and	l/or search (see NOTE below);	İ		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for ap	peal by materially reducing or simplifying	the		
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if sub	mitted in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		s been considered but does NOT place the	e		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not direct	ed SOLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) ☐ approved or	b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		97-Ck			
		Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office